## LFFLM MARKING PRO FORMA – Case Portfolio

Examiner:	Candidate:		
	Chapter no:		

## A = 100-70%, B = 69-60%, C = 59-50%, Fail = 49-0%

HIGH QUALITY	А	В	С	F	POOR QUALITY
INDICATORS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fail	INDICATORS
Forensic Content The content is relevant to the topic, factually correct and at an appropriate depth.					Much of the content is factually inaccurate or irrelevant to the title. Only a surface analysis is evident.
Knowledge and Understanding Principles clearly explained, subject area analysed and evidence of independent thought with competent critical analysis. Good evidence of reflection on practice.					Poor explanation of principles, with little evidence of independent thought or critical analysis. Little or no evidence of reflection on practice or intention to apply such reflection to future practice.
Evidence of Further Reading Clear evidence of background reading and in particular the use of scientific journals. Information well integrated throughout.					Poorly researched material, little evidence of the use of scientific journals, over-reliance on internet sources.

Structure and Formatting Professional presentation, well- structured, coherent and easy to follow. References and citations in the correct format and resources cited in the text.			Poor structure, lacking coherence and difficult to follow. References and citations in the incorrect format and insufficient citation in the text.

The assessment criteria are outlined above and weighted as follows:

- The accuracy and relevance of the forensic content of the essay 40%
- Evidence of knowledge and understanding of the subject matter 40%
  Evidence of appropriate further reading 10%
- Structure and formatting of the essay 10%

Further Comments		
Final Mark	Marked by:	
	Date:	